arXiv:1412.6702v1 [math.RT] 20 Dec 2014

Special Reduced Multiplets and Minimal Representations for SO(p,q)

V.K. Dobrev

Abstract Using our previous results on the systematic construction of invariant differential operators for non-compact semisimple Lie groups we classify the special reduced multiplets and minimal representations in the case of SO(p,q).

1 Introduction

In a recent paper [1] we started the systematic explicit construction of invariant differential operators. We gave an explicit description of the building blocks, namely, the *parabolic subgroups and subalgebras* from which the necessary representations are induced. Thus we have set the stage for study of different non-compact groups.

Since the study and description of detailed classification should be done group by group we had to decide which groups to study first. We decided to start with a subclass of the hermitian-symmetric algebras which share some special properties of the conformal algebra $so(n,2)$. That is why, in view of applications to physics, we called these algebras '*conformal Lie algebras*' (CLA), (or groups) [2]. Later we gave a natural way to go beyond this subclass using essentially the same results. For this we introduce the new notion of *parabolic relation* between two non-compact semisimple Lie algebras $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}'$ that have the same complexification and possess maximal parabolic subalgebras with the same complexification [3].

Thus, for example, using results for the conformal algebra $so(n,2)$ (for fixed n) we can obtain results for all pseudo-orthogonal algebras $so(p,q)$ such that $p+q=n+2$. In this way, in [3] (among other things) we gave the main

V.K. Dobrev
Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Tsarigradsko Chaussee 72, BG-1784 Sofia, Bulgaria, e-mail: dobrev@inrne.bas.bg

and the reduced multiplets of indecomposable elementary representations for $so(p,q)$ including the necessary data for all relevant invariant differential operators. We specially stressed that the classification of all invariant differential operators includes as special cases all possible *conservation laws* and *conserved currents*, unitary or not. In the present paper we give explicitly the conservation laws in the case of $so(p,q)$.

This paper is a short sequel of [3]. Due to the lack of space we refer to [3] for motivations and extensive list of literature on the subject.

2 Preliminaries

Let $\mathcal{G} = so(p,q), \quad p \geq q, \quad p+q > 4$. We choose a maximal parabolic $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{N}$ such that:

$$\mathcal{M} \ = \ so(p-1,q-1), \quad \dim \mathcal{A} \ = \ 1 \ , \quad \dim \mathcal{N} \ = \ p+q-2 \ . \tag{1}$$

With this choice we get for the conformal algebra $so(n,2)$ the Bruhat decomposition $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{P} \oplus \bar{\mathcal{N}}$ with direct physical meaning ($\bar{\mathcal{N}} \cong \mathcal{N}$) [3].

We label the signature of the representations of $\mathcal{G}$ as follows:

$$\begin{array}{l} \chi \ = \ \{ n_1, \ldots, n_h \ ; \ c \} \ , \\ \quad n_j \in \mathbb{Z}/2 \ , \quad c = d - \frac{p+q-2}{2} \ , \quad h \equiv [\frac{p+q-2}{2}], \\ 0 \leq |n_1| < n_2 < \cdots < n_h \ , \quad p+q \ \text{ even} \ , \\ 0 < n_1 < n_2 < \cdots < n_h \ , \quad p+q \ \text{ odd} \ , \end{array} \tag{2}$$

where the parameter c (related to the conformal weight d) labels the characters of $\mathcal{A}$, and the first h entries are labels of the finite-dimensional (nonunitary for $q \neq 1$) irreps μ of $\mathcal{M}$.

Following [4] we call the above induced representations $\chi = \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathcal{P}}^{G}(\mu \otimes \nu \otimes 1)$ *elementary representations* (ERs) of $G = SO(p,q)$. Their spaces of functions are:

$$\mathcal{C}_\chi \ = \ \{ \mathcal{F} \in C^\infty(G, V_\mu) \mid \mathcal{F}(gman) \ = \ e^{-\nu(H)} \cdot D^\mu(m^{-1}) \, \mathcal{F}(g) \}$$

where $a = \exp(H), \ H \in \mathcal{A}, \ m \in M = SO(p-1,q-1), \ n \in N = \exp \mathcal{N}$. The representation action is the *left regular action*:

$$(\mathcal{T}^\chi(g)\mathcal{F})(g') \ = \ \mathcal{F}(g^{-1}g') \ , \quad g, g' \in G \ . \tag{3}$$

Remark: Note that the group M has more general irreps representing the centre of M. However, these are discrete parameters which are not essential for the classification of the reducible ERs, cf. [5,6].$\diamondsuit$

• An important ingredient in our considerations are the *highest/lowest weight representations* of $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}$. These can be realized as (factor-modules of) Verma modules V^{Λ} over $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}$, where $\Lambda \in (\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}})^*$, $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}$, weight $\Lambda = \Lambda(\chi)$ is determined uniquely from χ [6].

Actually, since our ERs are induced from finite-dimensional representations of $\mathcal{M}$ the Verma modules are always reducible. Thus, it is more convenient to use *generalized Verma modules* $\tilde{V}^{\Lambda}$ such that the role of the highest/lowest weight vector v_0 is taken by the (finite-dimensional) space $V_\mu\, v_0$. For the generalized Verma modules (GVMs) the reducibility is controlled only by the value of the conformal weight d, or the parameter c. Relatedly, for the intertwining differential operators only the reducibility w.r.t. non-compact roots is essential. Thus, from now on we shall consider the ERs factored by the maximal invariant subspace generated by reducibilities w.r.t. compact roots. We shall call these factored ERS: *compactly restricted ERs.*

• One main ingredient of our approach is as follows. We group the (reducible) ERs with the same Casimirs in sets called *multiplets* [5]. The multiplet corresponding to fixed values of the Casimirs may be depicted as a connected graph, the *vertices* of which correspond to the reducible ERs and the *lines (arrows)* between the vertices correspond to intertwining operators. The multiplets contain explicitly all the data necessary to construct the intertwining differential operators. Actually, the data for each intertwining differential operator consists of the pair (β, m), where β is a (non-compact) positive root of $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, such that the *BGG Verma module reducibility condition* [7] (for highest weight modules) is fulfilled:

$$(\Lambda + \rho, \beta^\vee) \;=\; m \;, \quad \beta^\vee \equiv 2\beta/(\beta,\beta) \tag{4}$$

where ρ is half the sum of the positive roots of $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}$. When the above holds then the Verma module with shifted weight $V^{\Lambda - m\beta}$ (or $\tilde{V}^{\Lambda - m\beta}$ for GVM and β non-compact) is embedded in the Verma module V^{Λ} (or $\tilde{V}^{\Lambda}$). This embedding is realized by a singular vector v_s expressed by a polynomial $\mathcal{P}_{m,\beta}(\mathcal{G}^-)$ in the universal enveloping algebra $(U(\mathcal{G}_-))\; v_0$, $\mathcal{G}^-$ is the subalgebra of $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}$ generated by the negative root generators [8]. More explicitly, [6] $v^s_{m,\beta} = \mathcal{P}_{m,\beta}\, v_0$ (or $v^s_{m,\beta} = \mathcal{P}_{m,\beta}\, V_\mu\, v_0$ for GVMs).[1]
Then there exists [6] an *intertwining differential operator* of order $m = m_\beta$:

$$\mathcal{D}^m_\beta \;:\; \mathcal{C}_{\chi(\Lambda)} \;\longrightarrow\; \mathcal{C}_{\chi(\Lambda - m\beta)} \tag{5}$$

given explicitly by:

$$\mathcal{D}^m_\beta \;=\; \mathcal{P}^m_\beta(\widehat{\mathcal{G}^-}) \tag{6}$$

where $\widehat{\mathcal{G}^-}$ denotes the *right* action on the functions $\mathcal{F}$, cf. (**??**).

Thus, in each such situation we have an *invariant differential equation* of order $m = m_\beta$:

[1] For explicit expressions for singular vectors we refer to [9].

$$\mathcal{D}^m_\beta \ f \ = \ f' \ , \qquad f \in \mathcal{C}_{\chi(\Lambda)} \ , \quad f' \in \mathcal{C}_{\chi(\Lambda - m\beta)} \ . \tag{7}$$

In many such situations the invariant operator $\mathcal{D}^m_\beta$ has a non-trivial invariant kernel. These kernels are very important since in them are realized the (irreducible) subrepresentations of $\mathcal{G}$ as solutions of the equations:

$$\mathcal{D}^m_\beta \ f \ = \ 0 \ , \qquad f \in \mathcal{C}_{\chi(\Lambda)} \ , \tag{8}$$

Furthermore, in some physical applications in the case of first order differential operators, i.e., for $m = m_\beta = 1$, equations (8) are called *conservation laws*, and the elements $f \in \ker \mathcal{D}_{m,\beta}$ are called *conserved currents*.

3 Classification of reducible ERs for $so(p,q)$

The reducible ERs are grouped in various multiplets. We start with the so-called main multiplets (which contain the maximal number of ERs with this parabolic). We present them with the following explicit parametrization of the ERs in the multiplets (following [10], see also [11]):

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi^\pm_1 &= \{\epsilon\, n_1, \ldots, n_h \ ; \ \pm n_{h+1}\} \ , \quad n_h < n_{h+1} \ , \\
\chi^\pm_2 &= \{\epsilon\, n_1, \ldots, n_{h-1}, n_{h+1} \ ; \ \pm n_h\} \\
\chi^\pm_3 &= \{\epsilon\, n_1, \ldots, n_{h-2}, n_h, n_{h+1} \ ; \ \pm n_{h-1}\} \\
&\ldots \\
\chi^\pm_{h-1} &= \{\epsilon\, n_1, n_2, n_4, \ldots, n_h, n_{h+1} \ ; \ \pm n_3\} \\
\chi^\pm_h &= \{\epsilon\, n_1, n_3, \ldots, n_h, n_{h+1} \ ; \ \pm n_2\} \\
\chi^\pm_{h+1} &= \{\epsilon\, n_2, n_3, \ldots, n_h, n_{h+1} \ ; \ \pm n_1\} \\
\epsilon &= \begin{cases} \pm, & p+q \ \ even \\ 1, & p+q \ \ odd \end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

($\epsilon = \pm$ is correlated with $\chi^\pm$). Clearly, the multiplets correspond 1-to-1 to the finite-dimensional irreps of $so(p+q,\mathbb{C})$ with signature $\{n_1, \ldots, n_h, n_{h+1}\}$ and we are able to use previous results due to the parabolic relation between the $so(p,q)$ algebras for $p+q$ -fixed. Note that the two representations in each pair $\chi^\pm$ are called *shadow fields*.

Further, we denote by $\mathcal{C}^\pm_i$ the representation space with signature $\chi^\pm_i$.

The ERs in the multiplet are related by *intertwining integral and differential operators*.

The *integral operators* were introduced by Knapp and Stein [12]. Here these operators intertwine the pairs $\mathcal{C}^\pm_i$ (cf. (9)):

$$G^\pm_i \ : \ \mathcal{C}^\mp_i \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^\pm_i \ , \quad i \ = \ 1, \ldots, h+1 \ . \tag{10}$$

The *intertwining differential operators* correspond to non-compact positive roots of the root system of $so(p+q,\mathbb{C})$, cf. [6]. In the current context, compact roots of $so(p+q,\mathbb{C})$ are those that are roots also of the subalgebra $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}}$, the rest of the roots are non-compact. We denote the differential operators by d_i, d'_i. The spaces from (9) they intertwine are:

$$\begin{aligned}
&d_i \ : \ \mathcal{C}^-_i \ \longrightarrow \ \mathcal{C}^-_{i+1} \ , \quad i=1,\dots,h \ ; \\
&d'_i \ : \ \mathcal{C}^+_{i+1} \ \longrightarrow \ \mathcal{C}^+_i \ , \quad i=1,\dots,h-1 \ ; \\
&d_h \ : \ \mathcal{C}^+_{h+1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^+_h \ , \quad (p+q)-\text{even} \ ; \\
&d'_h \ : \ \mathcal{C}^-_h \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^+_{h+1} \ , \quad (p+q)-\text{even} \ ; \\
&d'_h \ : \ \mathcal{C}^-_{h+1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^+_h \ , \quad (p+q)-\text{even} \ ; \\
&d'_h \ : \ \mathcal{C}^+_{h+1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^+_h \ , \quad (p+q)-\text{odd} \ ; \\
&d_{h+1} \ : \ \mathcal{C}^-_{h+1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^+_{h+1} \ , \quad (p+q)-\text{odd} \ . \qquad (11)
\end{aligned}$$

The degrees of these intertwining differential operators are given just by the differences of the c entries [10]:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\deg d_i = \deg d'_i = n_{h+2-i} - n_{h+1-i} \ = \ m_{h+2-i}, \qquad i=1,\dots,h, \\
&\deg d'_h = n_2 + n_1 \ = \ m_1, \quad (p+q)-\text{even} \ , \\
&\deg d_{h+1} = 2n_1 = m'_{h+1} \ = \ m_1, \quad (p+q)-\text{odd} \ . \qquad (12)
\end{aligned}$$

where d'_h is omitted from the first line for $(p+q)$ even.

4 Multiplets and representations for $p+q$ odd

4.1 Reduced multiplets for $p+q$ odd

In this Section we consider the case $p+q$ odd, thus $h=\frac{1}{2}(p+q-3)$. First we rewrite the main multiplets from (9) in the following parametrization:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi_1^{\pm} &= [m_1,\, \dots,\, m_h\ ;\ \pm\tfrac{1}{2}(m_1+2m_{2,h+1})\ ]\ , \\
\chi_2^{\pm} &= [m_1,\, \dots,\, m_{h-1},\, m_{h,h+1}\ ;\ \pm\tfrac{1}{2}(m_1+2m_{2,h})\ ] \\
\chi_3^{\pm} &= [m_1, \dots, m_{h-2}, m_{h-1,h}, m_{h+1}\ ;\ \pm\tfrac{1}{2}(m_1+2m_{2,h-1})\ ] \\
&\dots \\
\chi_i^{\pm} &= [m_1, \dots, m_{h-i+1}, m_{h-i+2,h-i+3}, m_{h+4-i}, \dots, m_h,\, m_{h+1}\ ; \\
&\qquad \pm\tfrac{1}{2}(m_1+2m_{2,h+2-i})\ ] \\
&\dots \\
\chi_{h-1}^{\pm} &= [m_1,\, m_2,\, m_{34},\, m_5,\, \dots,\, m_h,\, m_{h+1}\ ;\ \pm\tfrac{1}{2}(m_1+2m_{2,3})\ ] \\
\chi_h^{\pm} &= [m_1,\, m_{23},\, m_4,\, \dots,\, m_h,\, m_{h+1}\ ;\ \pm\tfrac{1}{2}(m_1+2m_2)\ ] \\
\chi_{h+1}^{\pm} &= [m_1+2m_2,\, m_3,\, \dots,\, m_h,\, m_{h+1}\ ;\ \pm\tfrac{1}{2}m_1\ ]
\end{aligned}
\tag{13}
$$

where the last entry (as before) is the value of c, while $m_i \in \mathbb{N}$ are the Dynkin labels (as in (12)):

$$
m_1 \,=\, 2n_1 \,=\, 2\ell_1{+}1\,, \qquad m_j \,=\, n_j{-}n_{j-1} \,=\, \ell_j{-}\ell_{j-1}{+}1, \quad j=2,\dots,h{+}1\,. \tag{14}
$$

and we use the shorthand notation:

$$
m_{r,s} \,\equiv\, m_r+\cdots+m_s\ , \quad r<s\ , \qquad m_{r,r} \,\equiv\, m_r\ , \qquad m_{r,s} \,\equiv\, 0\ , \quad r>s\ , \tag{15}
$$

and we have also introduced the labels ℓ_k (in order to facilitate comparison with the literature):

$$
\ell_k \,=\, n_k - k + \tfrac{1}{2}\ , \qquad 0 \le \ell_1 \le \cdots \le \ell_{h+1}\ . \tag{16}
$$

We know that the ERs in a pair are related by the KS operators $G_i^{\pm}$ (10), however for $p+q$ odd the operator G_{h+1}^{+} degenerates to a differential operator of degree m_1 corresponding to the only short non-compact root ε_1. The main multiplets are given the Figure 1. Note that following [3] we do not give the KS integral operators. Their presence is assumed by the symmetry w.r.t the bullet in the centre of the Figure.

In this case there are $h+1$ reduced multiplets which may be obtained by formally setting one Dynkin label to zero. For $m_j = 0$ we denote the signatures by ${}^j\chi_k^{\pm}$.

We shall see that in every multiplet there is only one pair (which we mark with ♠) whose representations are of direct physical relevance (including finite-dimensional irreps of the $\mathcal{M}$ subalgebra). Yet we list the others since they are related by invariant differential operators which we record in each case.

In detail, the signatures are given similarly to (13):

- $m_{h+1} = 0$ equiv $n_{h+1} = n_h$

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^{h+1}\chi_1^{\pm} \;=\; {}^{h+1}\chi_2^{\pm} &= [m_1,\,\ldots,\,m_h\;;\;\pm\tfrac{1}{2}(m_1+2m_{2,h})\;]\;, \quad \spadesuit \\
{}^{h+1}\chi_3^{\pm} &= [m_1,\ldots,m_{h-2},m_{h-1,h},0\;;\;\pm\tfrac{1}{2}(m_1+2m_{2,h-1})\;] \\
&\ldots \\
{}^{h+1}\chi_{h-1}^{\pm} &= [m_1,\,m_2,\,m_{34},\,m_5,\,\ldots,\,m_h,\,0\;;\;\pm\tfrac{1}{2}(m_1+2m_{2,3})\;] \\
{}^{h+1}\chi_h^{\pm} &= [m_1,\,m_{23},\,m_4,\,\ldots,\,m_h,\,0\;;\;\pm\tfrac{1}{2}(m_1+2m_2)\;] \\
{}^{h+1}\chi_{h+1}^{\pm} &= [m_1+2m_2,\,m_3,\,\ldots,\,m_h,\,0\;;\;\pm\tfrac{1}{2}m_1\;]
\end{aligned}
\tag{17}
$$

Here there are two differential operators involving physically relevant representations, cf. Figure 2 :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}^{m_h}_{\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_3} \;:\; \mathcal{C}_1^- \;&=\; \mathcal{C}_2^- \;\longrightarrow\; \mathcal{C}_3^- \\
\mathcal{D}^{m_h}_{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_3} \;:\; \mathcal{C}_3^+ \;&\longrightarrow\; \mathcal{C}_1^+ \;=\; \mathcal{C}_2^+
\end{aligned}
\tag{18}
$$

- $m_h = 0$ equiv $n_h = n_{h-1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^{h}\chi_1^{\pm} &= [m_1,\,\ldots,\,m_{h-1},\,0\;;\;\pm\tfrac{1}{2}(m_1+2m_{2,h-1}+2m_{h+1})\;]\;, \\
{}^{h}\chi_2^{\pm} \;=\; {}^{h}\chi_3^{\pm} &= [m_1,\,\ldots,\,m_{h-1},\,m_{h+1}\;;\;\pm\tfrac{1}{2}(m_1+2m_{2,h-1})\;], \quad \spadesuit \\
&\ldots \\
{}^{h}\chi_{h-1}^{\pm} &= [m_1,\,m_2,\,m_{34},\,m_5,\,\ldots,\,m_{h-1},\,0,\,m_{h+1}\;;\;\pm\tfrac{1}{2}(m_1+2m_{2,3})\;] \\
{}^{h}\chi_h^{\pm} &= [m_1,\,m_{23},\,m_4,\,\ldots,\,m_{h-1},\,0,\,m_{h+1}\;;\;\pm\tfrac{1}{2}(m_1+2m_2)\;] \\
{}^{h}\chi_{h+1}^{\pm} &= [m_1+2m_2,\,m_3,\,\ldots,\,m_{h-1},\,0,\,m_{h+1}\;;\;\pm\tfrac{1}{2}m_1\;]
\end{aligned}
\tag{19}
$$

Here there are four differential operators involving physically relevant representations, cf. Figure 3 :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}^{m_{h+1}}_{\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2} \;:\; \mathcal{C}_1^- \;&\longrightarrow\; \mathcal{C}_2^- \;=\; \mathcal{C}_3^- \\
\mathcal{D}^{m_{h-1}}_{\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_4} \;:\; \mathcal{C}_2^- \;&=\; \mathcal{C}_3^- \;\longrightarrow\; \mathcal{C}_4^- \\
\mathcal{D}^{m_{h-1}}_{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_4} \;:\; \mathcal{C}_4^+ \;&\longrightarrow\; \mathcal{C}_2^+ \;=\; \mathcal{C}_3^+ \\
\mathcal{D}^{m_{h+1}}_{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2} \;:\; \mathcal{C}_2^+ \;&=\; \mathcal{C}_3^+ \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_1^+
\end{aligned}
\tag{20}
$$

The above case is typical for $m_k = 0$ for $k > 2$. Then for $k = 2, 1$ we have:

- $m_2 = 0$ equiv $n_2 = n_1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^2\chi_1^{\pm} &= [m_1,\, 0,\, m_3,\, \ldots,\, m_h\ ;\ \pm\tfrac{1}{2}(m_1+2m_{3,h+1})\ ]\ , \\
{}^2\chi_2^{\pm} &= [m_1,\, 0,\, m_3,\, \ldots,\, m_{h-1},\, m_{h,h+1}\ ;\ \pm\tfrac{1}{2}(m_1+2m_{3,h})\ ] \\
{}^2\chi_3^{\pm} &= [m_1, 0,\, m_3,\, \ldots, m_{h-2}, m_{h-1,h}, m_{h+1}\ ;\ \pm\tfrac{1}{2}(m_1+2m_{3,h-1})\ ] \\
&\ldots \\
{}^2\chi_{h-1}^{\pm} &= [m_1,\, 0,\, m_{34},\, m_5,\, \ldots,\, m_h,\, m_{h+1}\ ;\ \pm\tfrac{1}{2}(m_1+2m_3)\ ] \\
{}^2\chi_h^{\pm} \ = \ {}^2\chi_{h+1}^{\pm} &= [m_1,\, m_3,\, m_4,\, \ldots,\, m_h,\, m_{h+1}\ ;\ \pm\tfrac{1}{2}m_1\ ], \quad \spadesuit
\end{aligned}
\tag{21}
$$

Here there are three differential operators involving physically relevant representations, cf. Figure 4 :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}^{m_3}_{\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_h}\ &:\ \mathcal{C}^-_{h-1}\ \longrightarrow\ \mathcal{C}^-_h\ =\ \mathcal{C}^-_{h+1} \\
\mathcal{D}^{m_1}_{\varepsilon_1}\ &:\ \mathcal{C}^-_h\ =\ \mathcal{C}^-_{h+1}\ \longrightarrow\ \mathcal{C}^+_h\ =\ \mathcal{C}^+_{h+1} \\
\mathcal{D}^{m_3}_{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_h}\ &:\ \mathcal{C}^+_h\ =\ \mathcal{C}^+_{h+1}\ \longrightarrow\ \mathcal{C}^+_{h-1}
\end{aligned}
\tag{22}
$$

- $m_1 = 0$ equiv $n_1 = 0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^1\chi_1^{\pm} &= [0,\, m_2,\, \ldots,\, m_h\ ;\ \pm m_{2,h+1}\ ]\ , \\
{}^1\chi_2^{\pm} &= [0,\, m_2,\, \ldots,\, m_{h-1},\, m_{h,h+1}\ ;\ \pm m_{2,h}\ ] \\
{}^1\chi_3^{\pm} &= [0,\, m_2, \ldots, m_{h-2}, m_{h-1,h}, m_{h+1}\ ;\ \pm m_{2,h-1}\ ] \\
&\ldots \\
{}^1\chi_{h-1}^{\pm} &= [0,\, m_2,\, m_{34},\, m_5,\, \ldots,\, m_h,\, m_{h+1}\ ;\ \pm m_{2,3}\ ] \\
{}^1\chi_h^{\pm} &= [0,\, m_{23},\, m_4,\, \ldots,\, m_h,\, m_{h+1}\ ;\ \pm m_2\ ] \\
{}^1\chi_{h+1} &= [2m_2,\, m_3,\, \ldots,\, m_h,\, m_{h+1}\ ;\ 0\ ], \quad \spadesuit
\end{aligned}
\tag{23}
$$

Here there are two differential operators involving physically relevant representations, cf. Figure 5 :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}^{m_2}_{\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_{h+1}}\ &:\ \mathcal{C}^-_{h-1}\ \longrightarrow\ \mathcal{C}^+_{h+1}\ =\ \mathcal{C}^-_{h+1} \\
\mathcal{D}^{m_2}_{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_{h+1}}\ &:\ \mathcal{C}^+_{h+1}\ =\ \mathcal{C}^-_{h+1}\ \longrightarrow\ \mathcal{C}^+_{h-1}\ .
\end{aligned}
\tag{24}
$$

For future reference we summarize the pairs of direct physical relevance reparametrizing for more natural presentation and introducing uniform notation ${}_r\chi_k^{\pm}$:

$$
\begin{array}{rcll}
{}_r\chi_1^{\pm} & = & {}^{h+1}\chi_1^{\pm} & = [m_1,\,\ldots,\,m_h\;;\;\pm\tfrac{1}{2}(m_1+2m_{2,h})]\;, \\
 & & & d^+ \geq 2h\;,\quad d^- \leq 1\;, \\
{}_r\chi_2^{\pm} & = & {}^{h}\chi_2^{\pm} & = [m_1,\,\ldots,\,m_h\;;\;\pm\tfrac{1}{2}(m_1+2m_{2,h-1})], \\
 & & & d^+ \geq 2h-1,\quad d^- \leq 2, \\
 & \ldots & & \\
{}_r\chi_j^{\pm} & = & {}^{h-j+2}\chi_j^{\pm} & = [m_1,\ldots,m_h\;;\;\pm\tfrac{1}{2}(m_1+2m_{2,h+1-j})]\;, \\
 & & & d^+ \geq 2h-j+1\;,\quad d^- \leq j\;,\quad 1\leq j\leq h-1 \\
 & \ldots & & \\
{}_r\chi_{h-1}^{\pm} & = & {}^{3}\chi_{h-1}^{\pm} & = [m_1,\,\ldots,\,m_h\;;\;\pm\tfrac{1}{2}(m_1+2m_2)]\;, \\
 & & & d^+ \geq h+2\;,\quad d^- \leq h-1\;, \\
{}_r\chi_h^{\pm} & = & {}^{2}\chi_h^{\pm} & = [m_1,\,\ldots,\,m_h\;;\;\pm\tfrac{1}{2}m_1]\;,\quad d^+ \geq h+1\;,\quad d^- \leq h\;, \\
{}_r\chi_{h+1} & = & {}^{1}\chi_{h+1} & = [2m_1,\,m_2,\,\ldots,\,m_h\;;\;0]\;,\quad d=h+\tfrac{1}{2}
\end{array}
\tag{25}
$$

where we have introduced notation $d^{\pm}$ corresponding to the " $\pm$ " occurrences:

$$d^{\pm} \;=\; h+\tfrac{1}{2}\pm|c|\;. \tag{26}$$

4.2 Special reduced multiplets for $p+q$ odd

In addition to the standardly reduced multiplets discussed in the previous subsection, there are special reduced multiplets which may be formally obtained by formally setting one or two Dynkin labels to a positive half integer. Again from each main multiplet only one pair is of physical relevance but unlike the standardly reduced multiplets discussed in the previous subsection these pairs are not related by differential operators to the rest of the reduced multiplet (though having the same Casimirs). Thus, we present only the physically relevant pairs.

- $m_{h+1} \mapsto \frac{1}{2}\mu\;,\quad \mu \in 2\mathbb{N}-1$

$$ {}_s\chi_1^{\pm} = [m_1,\,\ldots,\,m_h\;;\;\pm\tfrac{1}{2}(m_1+2m_{2,h}+\mu)\;] \tag{27}$$

- $m_h \mapsto \frac{1}{2}\mu\;,\quad m_{h+1} \mapsto \frac{1}{2}\mu'\;,\quad \mu,\mu' \in 2\mathbb{N}-1$

$$ {}_s\chi_2^{\pm} = [m_1,\,\ldots,\,m_{h-1},\,\tfrac{1}{2}(\mu+\mu')\;;\;\pm\tfrac{1}{2}(m_1+2m_{2,h-1}+\mu)\;] \tag{28}$$

- $m_{h-1} \mapsto \frac{1}{2}\mu\;,\quad m_h \mapsto \frac{1}{2}\mu'\;,\quad \mu,\mu' \in 2\mathbb{N}-1$

$$ {}_s\chi_3^{\pm} = [m_1,\ldots,m_{h-2},\tfrac{1}{2}(\mu+\mu'),m_{h+1}\;;\;\pm\tfrac{1}{2}(m_1+2m_{2,h-2}+\mu)\;] \tag{29}$$

- $m_{h-j+2} \mapsto \frac{1}{2}\mu\;,\quad m_{h-j+3} \mapsto \frac{1}{2}\mu'\;,\quad \mu,\mu' \in 2\mathbb{N}-1\;,\quad 2\leq j\leq h$

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}_s\chi_j^{\pm} = [&m_1,\ldots,m_{h-j+1},\tfrac{1}{2}(\mu+\mu'),m_{h+4-j},\ldots,m_h,\,m_{h+1}\;; \\
&\pm\tfrac{1}{2}(m_1+2m_{2,h+1-j}+\mu)\;]
\end{aligned}
\tag{30}
$$

- $m_3 \mapsto \frac{1}{2}\mu \ , \quad m_4 \mapsto \frac{1}{2}\mu' \ , \quad \mu, \mu' \in 2\mathbb{N} - 1$

$$ {}_s\chi^{\pm}_{h-1} = [m_1, \, m_2, \, \tfrac{1}{2}(\mu+\mu'), \, m_5, \, \ldots, \, m_h, \, m_{h+1} \ ; \ \pm\tfrac{1}{2}(m_1+2m_2+\mu) \ ] \quad (31) $$

- $m_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{2}\mu \ , \quad m_3 \mapsto \frac{1}{2}\mu' \ , \quad \mu, \mu' \in 2\mathbb{N} - 1$

$$ {}_s\chi^{\pm}_{h} = [m_1, \, \tfrac{1}{2}(\mu+\mu'), \, m_4, \, \ldots, \, m_h, \, m_{h+1} \ ; \ \pm\tfrac{1}{2}(m_1+\mu) \ ] \quad (32) $$

- $m_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{2}\mu \ , \quad \mu \in 2\mathbb{N} - 1$

$$ {}_s\chi^{\pm}_{h+1} = [m_1 + \mu, \, m_3, \, \ldots, \, m_h, \, m_{h+1} \ ; \ \pm\tfrac{1}{2}m_1 \ ] \quad (33) $$

In each pair there are the standard KS integral operators $G^{\pm}_k$ between ${}_r\chi^{\mp}_k$. Furthermore, the ERs in a pair are reducible w.r.t. the compact roots and in addition the ERs ${}_r\chi^{-}_k$ are reducible w.r.t. the only short noncompact root ε_1. Actually, the corresponding differential operators are degenerations of the corresponding KS operators G^{+}_k (10). (In the main multiplets the same was happening but only for $k = h + 1$.) Thus, we have:

$$ \mathcal{D}^{2|c_k|}_{\varepsilon_1} \ : \ {}_r\mathcal{C}^{-}_k \ \longrightarrow \ {}_r\mathcal{C}^{+}_k \ , \qquad G^{+}_k \ \sim \ \mathcal{D}^{2|c_k|}_{\varepsilon_1} \quad (34) $$

where c_k is the value of c of the ER ${}_r\chi^{-}_k$.

Finally, we give a doubly reduced case originating from (33) setting $m_1 = 0$:

$$ {}_{rs}\chi^{\pm}_{h+1} = [\mu, \, m_2, \, \ldots, \, m_h \ ; \ 0 \ ] \ , \quad m_k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \mu \in 2\mathbb{N} - 1 \ . \quad (35) $$

This is a singlet and the ER is reducible only w.r.t. the compact roots, there are no non-trivial differential operators, thus, the corresponding generalized Verma module and the compactly restricted ER are irreducible.

4.3 Special cases for $p + q$ odd

The ERS χ^{-}_1 are the only ones in the multiplet that contain as irreducible subrepresentations the finite-dimensional irreducible representations of $\mathcal{G}$. More precisely, the ER χ^{-}_1 contains the finite-dimensional irreducible representation of $\mathcal{G}$ with signature $(m_1, \ldots, m_{h+1})$. (Certainly, the latter is non-unitary except the case of the trivial one-dimensional obtained for $m_i = 1$, $\forall\, i$.)

Another important case is the ER with signature χ^{+}_1. It contains a unitary discrete series representation of $so(p, q)$ realized on an invariant subspace $\mathcal{D}$ of the ER χ^{+}_1. That subspace is annihilated by the KS operator G^{-}_1, and is the image of the KS operator G^{+}_1.

Furthermore when $p > q = 2$ the invariant subspace $\mathcal{D}$ is the direct sum of two subspaces $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}^+ \oplus \mathcal{D}^-$, in which are realized a *holomorphic discrete series representation* and its conjugate *anti-holomorphic discrete series representation*, resp. Note that the corresponding lowest weight GVM is infinitesimally equivalent only to the holomorphic discrete series, while the conjugate highest weight GVM is infinitesimally equivalent to the anti-holomorphic discrete series.

Thus, the signatures of the (holomorphic) discrete series are:

$$\chi_1^+ = [m_1, \ldots, m_h \ ; \ d \ = \ h + \tfrac{1}{2}(m_1 + 1) + m_{2,h} \ + \ \nu \ ] \ , \quad \nu \in \mathbb{N} \tag{36}$$

More (non-holomorphic) discrete series representations are contained in χ_k^+ for $1 < k \leq h$.

The next important case are the *limits of (holomorphic) discrete series* which are contained in the reduced case (17):

$$_r\chi_1^+ \ = \ [m_1, \ldots, m_h \ ; \ d \ = \ h + \tfrac{1}{2}(m_1 + 1) + m_{2,h} \ ] \tag{37}$$

(with conformal weight obtained from (36) as "limit" for $\nu = 0$).

Finally, we mention the so called *first reduction points* (FRP). For $q = 2$ these are the boundary values of d from below of the positive energy UIRs. Most of the FRPs are contained in χ_{h+1}^+, cf. (13), which we give with suitable reparametrization:

$$\chi_{h+1}^+ \ = \ [m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_h \ ; \ d \ = \ h + \tfrac{1}{2}m_1 - \tfrac{1}{2} \ ] \ , \qquad m_1 \geq 3 \ . \tag{38}$$

The FRP cases for $m_1 = 1, 2$ (with the same values of d by specializing m_1) are found in (21), (23), resp:

$$_r\chi_h^- \ = \ [1, m_2, \ldots, m_h \ ; \ d \ = \ h \ ] \ , \tag{39}$$

$$_r\chi_{h+1} \ = \ [2, m_2, \ldots, m_h \ ; \ d \ = \ h + \tfrac{1}{2} \ ] \ . \tag{40}$$

Finally, we give some discrete unitary points below the FRP which are found in the special reduced ERs (32) (used for $m_1 = \mu = 1, \ \mu' = 2m_2 - 1$), and then (33) used first for $m_1 = 2, \ \mu = 2k - 1$, and then for $m_1 = 1$, $\mu = 2k - 1$:

$$_s\chi_h^- \ = \ [1, m_2, \ldots, m_h \ ; \ d \ = \ h - \tfrac{1}{2} \ ] \ , \tag{41}$$

$$_s\chi_{h+1}^- \ = \ [2k + 1, m_2, \ldots, m_h \ ; \ d \ = \ h - \tfrac{1}{2} \ ] \ , \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \tag{42}$$

$$_s\chi_{h+1}^- \ = \ [2k, m_2, \ldots, m_h \ ; \ d \ = \ h \ ] \ , \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \tag{43}$$

4.4 Minimal irreps for $p+q$ odd

First we give the minimal irreps occurring in standardly reduced multiplets displaying together only the physically relevant representations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}_r\chi_1^- &= [\ 1,\dots,1\ ;\ d\ =\ 1\ ]\ , \\
&\qquad {}_rL_1^-\ =\ \{\ \varphi\in\ {}_r\mathcal{C}_1^-\ :\ \mathcal{D}^1_{\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_3}\varphi\ =\ 0\ ,\quad G_1^+\varphi\ =\ 0\ \}\ , \\
&\dots \\
{}_r\chi_j^- &= [\ 1,\dots,1\ ;\ d\ =\ j\ ]\ , \\
&\qquad {}_rL_j^-\ =\ \{\ \varphi\in\ {}_r\mathcal{C}_j^-\ :\ \mathcal{D}^1_{\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_{j+2}}\varphi\ =\ 0\ ,\quad G_j^+\varphi\ =\ 0\ \}\ , \\
&\qquad\qquad 1\le j\le h-1\ , \\
&\dots \\
{}_r\chi_h^- &= [\ 1,\dots,1\ ;\ d_{\rm FRP}\ =\ h\ ]\ , \\
&\qquad {}_rL_h^-\ =\ \{\ \varphi\in\ {}_r\mathcal{C}_h^-\ :\ \mathcal{D}^1_{\varepsilon_1}\varphi\ =\ 0\ \},\qquad G_h^+\ =\ \mathcal{D}^1_{\varepsilon_1}\ , \\
{}_r\chi_{h+1} &= [\ 2,1,\dots,1\ ;\ d_{\rm FRP}\ =\ h+\tfrac12\ ]\ , \\
&\qquad {}_rL_{h+1}\ =\ \{\ \varphi\in\ {}_r\mathcal{C}_{h+1}^-\ :\ \mathcal{D}^1_{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_{h+1}}\varphi\ =\ 0\ \}
\end{aligned}
\tag{44}
$$

(In the last case there is no KS operator since $c=0$.)

We see that for $h\ge 2$ there are discrete unitary points *below* the FRPs. For fixed $h\ge 2$ these are in ${}_r\chi_j^-$ with conformal weight $d=j$ (and trivial $\mathcal{M}$ inducing irreps) for $j=1,\dots,h-1$. Furthermore, as evident from (61) for $h\ge 3$ there are discrete unitary points below those displayed. For fixed $h\ge 3$ these are in ${}_r\chi_j^-$ with conformal weight $1\le d<j$ (and non-trivial $\mathcal{M}$ inducing irreps) for $j=2,\dots,h-2$. It seems that all this picture is consistent with [14]. More details will be given elsewhere.

Next we give the case of special reduced multiplets displaying together the physically relevant representations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}_s\chi_1^- &= [\ 1,\dots,1\ ;\ d\ =\ \tfrac12\ ]\ , \\
&\qquad {}_sL_1^-\ =\ \{\ \varphi\in\ {}_s\mathcal{C}_1^-\ :\ \mathcal{D}^{2h}_{\varepsilon_1}\varphi\ =\ 0\ \},\qquad G_1^+\ =\ \mathcal{D}^{2h}_{\varepsilon_1}\ , \\
&\dots \\
{}_s\chi_j^- &= [\ 1,\dots,1\ ;\ d\ =\ j-\tfrac12\ ]\ ,\qquad 1\le j\le h \\
&\qquad {}_sL_j^-\ =\ \{\ \varphi\in\ {}_s\mathcal{C}_j^-\ :\ \mathcal{D}^{2(h+1-j)}_{\varepsilon_1}\varphi\ =\ 0\ \},\qquad G_j^+\ =\ \mathcal{D}^{2(h+1-j)}_{\varepsilon_1}\ , \\
&\dots \\
{}_s\chi_h^- &= [\ 1,\dots,1\ ;\ d\ =\ h-\tfrac12\ ]\ , \\
&\qquad {}_sL_h^-\ =\ \{\ \varphi\in\ {}_s\mathcal{C}_h^-\ :\ \mathcal{D}^2_{\varepsilon_1}\varphi\ =\ 0\ \},\qquad G_h^+\ =\ \mathcal{D}^2_{\varepsilon_1}\ , \\
{}_s\chi_{h+1} &= [\ 2,1,\dots,1\ ;\ d\ =\ h\ ]\ , \\
&\qquad {}_sL_{h+1}^-\ =\ \{\ \varphi\in\ {}_s\mathcal{C}_{h+1}^-\ :\ \mathcal{D}^1_{\varepsilon_1}\varphi\ =\ 0\ \},\qquad G_{h+1}^+\ =\ \mathcal{D}^1_{\varepsilon_1}\ ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{45}
$$

Here all irreps are below the FRP. The "most" minimal representations are the last two cases of (45). For $h = 1$, i.e., $so(3,2)$ these are the so-called *singletons* discovered by Dirac [13].

4.5 Singular vectors needed for the invariant differential operators

The mostly used case is $\varepsilon_1 = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_\ell\,,\ \ell = h+1$. The corresponding singular vector of weight $m\varepsilon_1$ is given in (13) [9] (noting that this is an $sl(n)$ formula in quantum group setting, thus, one should take $q = 1$):

$$\begin{aligned} v^m_{\varepsilon_1} &= \sum_{k_1=0}^{m} \cdots \sum_{k_{\ell-1}=0}^{m} a_{k_1\ldots k_{\ell-1}} (X_1^-)^{m-k_1} \cdots (X_{\ell-1}^-)^{m-k_{\ell-1}} \times \\ &\quad \times (X_\ell^-)^m\ (X_{\ell-1}^-)^{k_{\ell-1}}\ \cdots\ (X_1^-)^{k_1}\ \otimes v_0\ , \qquad (46) \\ a_{k_1\ldots k_{\ell-1}} &= (-1)^{k_1+\cdots+k_{\ell-1}}\ a^\ell\ \binom{m}{k_1} \cdots\ \binom{m}{k_{\ell-1}}\ \times \\ &\quad \times \frac{[(\lambda+\rho)(H^1)]}{[(\lambda+\rho)(H^1)-k_1]} \cdots \frac{[(\lambda+\rho)(H^{\ell-1})]}{[(\lambda+\rho)(H^{\ell-1})-k_{\ell-1}]}, \end{aligned}$$

where $X_k^\pm$ are the simple root vectors, H_k are the long Chevalley Cartan elements $H_k = [X_k^+, X_k^-]$, $k < \ell$, $H^s = H_1 + H_2 + \cdots + H_s\,,\ \rho$ is the half-sum of the positive roots.

Other cases are: $\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_j = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{j-1}$. Clearly, one uses again formula (46) replacing $\ell \mapsto j-1$.

The last case is: $\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_\ell = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{\ell-1} + 2\alpha_\ell\,,\ \ell = h+1$. The singular vector is given in (19) of [9]:

$$\begin{aligned} v^m_{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_\ell} &= \sum_{k_1=0}^{m} \cdots \sum_{k_{\ell-2}=0}^{m} \sum_{k_{\ell-1}=0}^{2m} b_{k_1\ldots k_{\ell-1}}\ (X_1^-)^{m-k_1} \cdots\ (X_{\ell-2}^-)^{m-k_{\ell-2}}\ \times \\ &\times (X_\ell^-)^{2m-k_{\ell-1}} (X_{\ell-1}^-)^m\ (X_\ell^-)^{k_{\ell-1}}\ (X_{\ell-2}^-)^{k_{\ell-2}} \cdots (X_1^-)^{k_1}\ \otimes v_0\ , \qquad (47) \\ b_{k_1\ldots k_{\ell-1}} &= (-1)^{k_1+\cdots+k_{\ell-1}}\ b^\ell\ \binom{m}{k_1} \cdots\ \binom{m}{k_{\ell-2}}\ \binom{2m}{k_{\ell-1}}\ \times \\ &\times \frac{[(\lambda+\rho)(H^1)]}{[(\lambda+\rho)(H^1)-k_1]} \cdots\ \frac{[(\lambda+\rho)(H^{\ell-2})]}{[(\lambda+\rho)(H^{\ell-2})-k_{\ell-2}]}\ \frac{[(\lambda+\rho)(H_\ell)]}{[(\lambda+\rho)(H_\ell)-k_{\ell-1}]} \end{aligned}$$

5 Multiplets and representations for $p+q$ even

5.1 Reduced multiplets for $p+q$ even

In this Section we consider the case $p+q$ odd, thus $h=\frac{1}{2}(p+q-2)$. First we introduce the Dynkin labels parametrization of the multiplets:

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_1^{\pm} &= [(m_1,\,\ldots,\,m_h)^{\pm}\ ;\ \pm(\tfrac{1}{2}m_{12}+m_{3,h+1})\ ]\ , \\
\chi_2^{\pm} &= [(m_1,\,\ldots,\,m_{h-1},\,m_{h,h+1})^{\pm}\ ;\ \pm(\tfrac{1}{2}m_{12}+m_{3,h})\ ] \\
\chi_3^{\pm} &= [(m_1,\ldots,m_{h-2},m_{h-1,h},m_{h+1})^{\pm}\ ;\ \pm(\tfrac{1}{2}m_{12}+m_{3,h-1})\ ] \\
&\ldots \\
\chi_j^{\pm} &= [(m_1,\ldots,m_{h-j+1},m_{h-j+2,h-j+3},m_{h+4-j},\ldots,m_h,\,m_{h+1})^{\pm}\ ; \\
&\qquad \pm(\tfrac{1}{2}m_{12}+m_{3,h+2-j})\ ]\ ,\quad 2\le j\le h-1\ , \\
&\ldots \\
\chi_{h-1}^{\pm} &= [(m_1,\,m_2,\,m_{34},\,m_5,\,\ldots,\,m_h,\,m_{h+1})^{\pm}\ ;\ \pm(\tfrac{1}{2}m_{12}+m_3)\ ] \\
\chi_h^{\pm} &= [(m_{1'3},\,m_{23},\,m_4,\,\ldots,\,m_h,\,m_{h+1})^{\pm}\ ;\ \pm\tfrac{1}{2}m_{12}\ ] \\
\chi_{h+1}^{\pm} &= [(m_{13},\,m_3,\,\ldots,\,m_h,\,m_{h+1})^{\pm}\ ;\ \pm\tfrac{1}{2}(m_1-m_2)\ ]
\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

where the conjugation of the $\mathcal{M}$ labels interchanges the first two entries:

$$\begin{aligned}
(m_1,\,\ldots,\,m_h)^{-} &= (m_1,\,\ldots,\,m_h), \\
(m_1,\,m_2,\,\ldots,\,m_h)^{+} &= (m_2,\,m_1,\,\ldots,\,m_h)\ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

the last entry (as before) is the value of c, while $m_i\in\mathbb{N}$ are the Dynkin labels (as in (12)):

$$\begin{aligned}
m_1 &= n_1+n_2 = \ell_1+\ell_2+1\ , \\
m_j &= n_j-n_{j-1} = \ell_j-\ell_{j-1}+1,\quad j=2,\ldots,h+1\ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

finally, $m_{1'3}\equiv m_1+m_3$.

The main multiplets are given in Figure 6. Note that as in the odd case we do not give the KS integral operators.

Then we give the reduced multiplets:

- $m_{h+1}=0$ equiv $n_{h+1}=n_h$

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_1^{\pm} \;=\; \chi_2^{\pm} &= [(m_1,\,\ldots,\,m_h)^{\pm}\;;\;\pm(\tfrac{1}{2}m_{12}+m_{3,h})\;]\;, \qquad \spadesuit \\
\chi_3^{\pm} &= [(m_1,\ldots,m_{h-2},m_{h-1,h},0)^{\pm}\;;\;\pm(\tfrac{1}{2}m_{12}+m_{3,h-1})\;] \\
&\ldots \\
\chi_i^{\pm} &= [(m_1,\ldots,m_{h-i+1},m_{h-i+2,h-i+3},m_{h+4-i},\ldots,m_h,\,0)^{\pm}\;; \\
&\qquad\qquad \pm(\tfrac{1}{2}m_{12}+m_{3,h+2-i})\;] \\
&\ldots \\
\chi_{h-1}^{\pm} &= [(m_1,\,m_2,\,m_{34},\,m_5,\,\ldots,\,m_h,\,0)^{\pm}\;;\;\pm(\tfrac{1}{2}m_{12}+m_3)\;] \\
\chi_h^{\pm} &= [(m_{1'3},\,m_{23},\,m_4,\,\ldots,\,m_h,\,0)^{\pm}\;;\;\pm\tfrac{1}{2}m_{12}\;] \\
\chi_{h+1}^{\pm} &= [(m_{13},\,m_3,\,\ldots,\,m_h,\,0)^{\pm}\;;\;\pm\tfrac{1}{2}(m_1-m_2)\;]
\end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

Here there are two differential operators involving physically relevant representations, cf. Figure 7 :

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}^{m_h}_{\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_3} \;:\; \mathcal{C}_1^- \;=\; \mathcal{C}_2^- \;&\longrightarrow\; \mathcal{C}_3^- \\
\mathcal{D}^{m_h}_{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_3} \;:\; \mathcal{C}_3^+ \;&\longrightarrow\; \mathcal{C}_1^+ \;=\; \mathcal{C}_2^+
\end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

- $m_h = 0$ equiv $n_h = n_{h-1}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_1^{\pm} &= [(m_1,\,\ldots,\,m_{h-1},\,0)^{\pm}\;;\;\pm(\tfrac{1}{2}m_{12}+m_{3,h-1}+2m_{h+1})\;]\;, \\
\chi_2^{\pm} = \chi_3^{\pm} &= [(m_1,\,\ldots,\,m_{h-1},\,m_{h+1})^{\pm}\;;\;\pm(\tfrac{1}{2}m_{12}+m_{3,h-1})\;] \qquad \spadesuit \\
&\ldots \\
\chi_i^{\pm} &= [(m_1,\ldots,m_{h-i+1},m_{h-i+2,h-i+3},m_{h+4-i},\ldots,m_{h-1},\,0,\,m_{h+1})^{\pm}\;; \\
&\qquad\qquad \pm(\tfrac{1}{2}m_{12}+m_{3,h+2-i})\;] \\
&\ldots \\
\chi_{h-1}^{\pm} &= [(m_1,\,m_2,\,m_{34},\,m_5,\,\ldots,\,m_{h-1},\,0,\,m_{h+1})^{\pm}\;;\;\pm(\tfrac{1}{2}m_{12}+m_3)\;] \\
\chi_h^{\pm} &= [(m_{1'3},\,m_{23},\,m_4,\,\ldots,\,m_{h-1},\,0,\,m_{h+1})^{\pm}\;;\;\pm\tfrac{1}{2}m_{12}\;] \\
\chi_{h+1}^{\pm} &= [(m_{13},\,m_3,\,\ldots,\,m_{h-1},\,0,\,m_{h+1})^{\pm}\;;\;\pm\tfrac{1}{2}(m_1-m_2)\;]
\end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

Here there are four differential operators involving physically relevant representations, cf. Figure 8 :

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}^{m_{h+1}}_{\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2} \;:\; \mathcal{C}_1^- \;&\longrightarrow\; \mathcal{C}_2^- \;=\; \mathcal{C}_3^- \\
\mathcal{D}^{m_{h-1}}_{\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_4} \;:\; \mathcal{C}_2^- \;=\; \mathcal{C}_3^- \;&\longrightarrow\; \mathcal{C}_4^- \\
\mathcal{D}^{m_{h-1}}_{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_4} \;:\; \mathcal{C}_4^+ \;&\longrightarrow\; \mathcal{C}_2^+ \;=\; \mathcal{C}_3^+ \\
\mathcal{D}^{m_{h+1}}_{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2} \;:\; \mathcal{C}_2^+ \;=\; \mathcal{C}_3^+ &\longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_1^+
\end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

The above case is typical for $m_k = 0$ for $k > 3$. Then for $k = 3, 2, 1$ we have:

- $m_3 = 0$ equiv $n_3 = n_2$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi_1^{\pm} &= [(m_1,\, m_2,\, 0,\, m_4,\, \ldots,\, m_h)^{\pm}\ ;\ \pm(\tfrac{1}{2}m_{12} + m_{4,h+1})\ ]\ , \qquad (55)\\
\chi_2^{\pm} &= [(m_1,\, m_2,\, 0,\, m_4,\, \ldots,\, m_{h-1},\, m_{h,h+1})^{\pm}\ ;\ \pm(\tfrac{1}{2}m_{12} + m_{4,h})\ ]\\
&\ldots\\
\chi_i^{\pm} &= [(m_1,\, m_2,\, 0,\, m_4,\, \ldots, m_{h-i+1}, m_{h-i+2,h-i+3}, m_{h+4-i}, \ldots,\\
&\qquad\qquad m_h,\, m_{h+1})^{\pm}\ ; \pm(\tfrac{1}{2}m_{12} + m_{4,h+2-i})\ ]\\
&\ldots\\
\chi_{h-1}^{\pm} = \chi_h^{\pm} &= [(m_1,\, m_2,\, m_4,\, \ldots,\, m_h,\, m_{h+1})^{\pm}\ ;\ \pm\tfrac{1}{2}m_{12}\ ]\ , \qquad \spadesuit\\
\chi_{h+1}^{\pm} &= [(m_{12}, 0,\ m_4,\, \ldots,\, m_h,\, m_{h+1})^{\pm}\ ;\ \pm\tfrac{1}{2}(m_1 - m_2)\ ]
\end{aligned}
$$

Here there are six differential operators involving physically relevant representations, cf. Figure 9 :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}^{m_4}_{\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_{h-1}} &: \mathcal{C}^-_{h-2} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^-_h = \mathcal{C}^-_{h-1}\\
\mathcal{D}^{m_2}_{\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_{h+1}} &: \mathcal{C}^-_h = \mathcal{C}^-_{h-1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^-_{h+1}\\
\mathcal{D}^{m_1}_{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_{h+1}} &: \mathcal{C}^-_h = \mathcal{C}^-_{h-1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^+_{h+1}\\
\mathcal{D}^{m_1}_{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_{h+1}} &: \mathcal{C}^-_{h+1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^+_h = \mathcal{C}^+_{h-1}\\
\mathcal{D}^{m_2}_{\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_{h+1}} &: \mathcal{C}^+_{h+1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^+_h = \mathcal{C}^+_{h-1}\\
\mathcal{D}^{m_4}_{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_{h-1}} &: \mathcal{C}^+_h = \mathcal{C}^+_{h-1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^+_{h-2} \qquad (56)
\end{aligned}
$$

- $m_2 = 0$ equiv $n_2 = n_1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}_2\chi_1^{\pm} &= [(m_1,\, 0, m_3,\, \ldots,\, m_h)^{\pm}\ ;\ \pm(\tfrac{1}{2}m_1 + m_{3,h+1})\ ]\ , \qquad (57)\\
{}_2\chi_2^{\pm} &= [(m_1,\, 0, m_3,\, \ldots,\, m_{h-1},\, m_{h,h+1})^{\pm}\ ;\ \pm(\tfrac{1}{2}m_1 + m_{3,h})\ ]\\
{}_2\chi_3^{\pm} &= [(m_1, 0, m_3,\, \ldots, m_{h-2}, m_{h-1,h}, m_{h+1})^{\pm}\ ;\ \pm(\tfrac{1}{2}m_1 + m_{3,h-1})\ ]\\
&\ldots\\
{}_2\chi_i^{\pm} &= [(m_1, 0, m_3,\, \ldots, m_{h-i+1}, m_{h-i+2,h-i+3}, m_{h+4-i}, \ldots,\\
&\qquad\qquad m_h,\, m_{h+1})^{\pm}\ ;\ \pm(\tfrac{1}{2}m_1 + m_{3,h+2-i})\ ]\\
&\ldots\\
{}_2\chi_{h-1}^{\pm} &= [(m_1,\, 0,\, m_{34},\, m_5,\, \ldots,\, m_h,\, m_{h+1})^{\pm}\ ;\ \pm(\tfrac{1}{2}m_1 + m_3)\ ]\\
{}_2\chi_h^{\pm} = {}_2\,\chi_{h+1}^{\pm} &= [(m_1 + m_3,\, m_3,\, \ldots,\, m_h,\, m_{h+1})^{\pm}\ ;\ \pm\tfrac{1}{2}m_1\ ]\ , \qquad \spadesuit
\end{aligned}
$$

Here there are three differential operators involving physically relevant representations, cf. Figure 10 :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}^{m_3}_{\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_h} &: \mathcal{C}^-_{h-1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^-_h = \mathcal{C}^-_{h+1}\\
\mathcal{D}^{m_1}_{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_{h+1}} &: \mathcal{C}^-_h = \mathcal{C}^-_{h+1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^+_h = \mathcal{C}^+_{h+1}\\
\mathcal{D}^{m_3}_{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_h} &: \mathcal{C}^+_h = \mathcal{C}^+_{h+1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^+_{h-1} \qquad (58)
\end{aligned}
$$

- $m_1 = 0$ equiv $n_2 = -n_1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}_1\chi_1^{\pm} &= [(0,\, m_2,\, \ldots,\, m_h)^{\pm}\ ;\ \pm(\tfrac{1}{2}m_2 + m_{3,h+1})\ ]\ , \\
{}_1\chi_2^{\pm} &= [(0,\, m_2,\, \ldots,\, m_{h-1},\, m_{h,h+1})^{\pm}\ ;\ \pm(\tfrac{1}{2}m_2 + m_{3,h})\ ] \\
{}_1\chi_3^{\pm} &= [(0,\, m_2, \ldots, m_{h-2}, m_{h-1,h}, m_{h+1})^{\pm}\ ;\ \pm(\tfrac{1}{2}m_2 + m_{3,h-1})\ ] \\
&\ldots \\
{}_1\chi_i^{\pm} &= [(0,\, m_2, \ldots, m_{h-i+1}, m_{h-i+2,h-i+3}, m_{h+4-i}, \ldots, \\
&\qquad\qquad m_h,\, m_{h+1})^{\pm}\ ;\ \pm(\tfrac{1}{2}m_2 + m_{3,h+2-i})\ ] \\
&\ldots \\
{}_1\chi_{h-1}^{\pm} &= [(0,\, m_2,\, m_{34},\, m_5,\, \ldots,\, m_h,\, m_{h+1})^{\pm}\ ;\ \pm(\tfrac{1}{2}m_2 + m_3)\ ] \\
{}_1\chi_h^{\pm} = {}_1\chi_{h+1}^{\mp} &= [(m_3,\, m_2 + m_3,\, m_4,\, \ldots,\, m_h,\, m_{h+1})^{\pm}\ ;\ \pm\tfrac{1}{2}m_2\ ]\ , \qquad \spadesuit
\end{aligned}
\tag{59}
$$

Here there are three differential operators involving physically relevant representations, cf. Figure 11 :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}^{m_3}_{\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_h}\ &:\ \mathcal{C}^-_{h-1}\ \longrightarrow\ \mathcal{C}^-_h\ =\ \mathcal{C}^+_{h+1} \\
\mathcal{D}^{m_2}_{\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_{h+1}}\ &:\ \mathcal{C}^-_h\ =\ \mathcal{C}^+_{h+1}\ \longrightarrow\ \mathcal{C}^+_h\ =\ \mathcal{C}^-_{h+1} \\
\mathcal{D}^{m_3}_{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_h}\ &:\ \mathcal{C}^+_h\ =\ \mathcal{C}^-_{h+1}\ \longrightarrow\ \mathcal{C}^+_{h-1}
\end{aligned}
\tag{60}
$$

Note that the last two cases: (57) and (59) are conjugate to each other through the $\mathcal{M}$ labels (${}_1\chi_i^{\pm}$ has the same expressions for c as ${}_2\chi_i^{\pm}$, but the $\mathcal{M}$ labels are conjugate).

For future reference we summarize the physically relevant pairs reparametrizing for more natural presentation and introducing uniform notation ${}_r\chi_k^{\pm}$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}_r\chi_1^{\pm} &= [(m_1,\, \ldots,\, m_h)^{\pm}\ ;\ \pm(\tfrac{1}{2}m_{12} + m_{3,h})\ ]\ ,\quad d^+ \geq 2h-1\ ,\ \ d^- \leq 1\ , \\
{}_r\chi_2^{\pm} &= [(m_1,\, \ldots,\, m_h)^{\pm}\ ;\ \pm(\tfrac{1}{2}m_{12} + m_{3,h-1})\ ]\ ,\quad d^+ \geq 2h-2\ ,\ \ d^- \leq 2\ , \\
&\ldots \\
{}_r\chi_j^{\pm} &= [(m_1,\, \ldots,\, m_h)^{\pm}\ ;\ \pm(\tfrac{1}{2}m_{12} + m_{3,h+1-j})\ ]\ , \\
&\qquad d^+ \geq 2h-j\ ,\ \ d^- \leq j\ ,\quad 1 \leq j \leq h-2\ , \\
&\ldots \\
{}_r\chi_{h-1}^{\pm} &= [(m_1,\, \ldots,\, m_h)^{\pm}\ ;\ \pm\tfrac{1}{2}m_{12}\ ]\ ,\quad d^+ \geq h+1\ ,\ \ d^- \leq h-1\ , \\
{}_r\chi_h^{\pm} &= [(m+m_2,\, m_2,\, m_3,\, \ldots,\, m_h)^{\pm}\ ;\ \pm\tfrac{1}{2}m\ ]\ , \\
&\qquad\qquad d^+ \geq h+\tfrac{1}{2}\ ,\ \ d^- \leq h-\tfrac{1}{2}\ , \\
{}_r\chi_{h+1}^{\pm} &= [(m_2,\, m+m_2,\, m_3,\, \ldots,\, m_h)^{\pm}\ ;\ \pm\tfrac{1}{2}m\ ]\ , \\
&\qquad\qquad d^+ \geq h+\tfrac{1}{2}\ ,\ \ d^- \leq h-\tfrac{1}{2}\ .
\end{aligned}
\tag{61}
$$

Note a last reduction obtained by setting $m = 0$ when the last two pairs in (61) coincide and become further a singlet (being $\mathcal{M}$ self-conjugate):

$$ {}_r\chi^s = [m_2, m_2, m_3, \dots, m_h \ ; \ 0 \ ] \ , \quad d = h \ . \tag{62} $$

5.2 Special cases for $p+q$ even

The ERS χ_1^- are the only ones in the multiplet that contain as irreducible subrepresentations the finite-dimensional irreducible representations of $\mathcal{G}$. More precisely, the ER χ_1^- contains the finite-dimensional irreducible representation of $\mathcal{G}$ with signature $(m_1, \dots, m_{h+1})$. (Certainly, the latter is non-unitary except the case of the trivial one-dimensional obtained for $m_i = 1$, $\forall\, i$.)

Another important case is the ER with signature χ_1^+. For $pq \in 2\mathbb{N}$ it contains a unitary discrete series representation of $so(p,q)$ realized on an invariant subspace $\mathcal{D}$ of the ER χ_1^+. That subspace is annihilated by the KS operator G_1^-, and is the image of the KS operator G_1^+.

Furthermore when $p > q = 2$ the invariant subspace $\mathcal{D}$ is the direct sum of two subspaces $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}^+ \oplus \mathcal{D}^-$, in which are realized a *holomorphic discrete series representation* and its conjugate *anti-holomorphic discrete series representation*, resp. Note that the corresponding lowest weight GVM is infinitesimally equivalent only to the holomorphic discrete series, while the conjugate highest weight GVM is infinitesimally equivalent to the anti-holomorphic discrete series.

Thus, the signatures of the (holomorphic) discrete series are:

$$ \chi_1^+ = [m_1, \dots, m_h \ ; \ d \ = \ h + \tfrac{1}{2} m_{12} + m_{3,h} \ + \ \nu \ ] \ , \quad \nu \in \mathbb{N} \tag{63} $$

More (non-holomorphic) discrete series representations are contained in χ_k^+ for $1 < k \leq h+1$.

The next important case of positive energy UIRs are the *limits of (holomorphic) discrete series* which are contained in the reduced case (61):

$$ {}_r\chi_1^+ \ = \ [m_1, \dots, m_h \ ; \ d \ = \ h + \tfrac{1}{2} m_{12} + m_{3,h} \ ] \tag{64} $$

(with conformal weight obtained from (63) as "limit" for $\nu = 0$).

Further we discuss the so called *first reduction points* (FRP). These are the boundary values of d from below of the positive energy UIRs. Most of the FRPs are contained in χ_h^+, cf. (48), which we give with suitable reparametrization:

$$ \chi_h^+ \ = \ [m_1, m_2, \dots, m_h \ ; \ d \ = \ h + \tfrac{1}{2} m_{12} - 1 \ ] \ , \qquad m_1, m_2 \geq 2 \ . \tag{65} $$

Some FRP cases when only one of m_1, m_2 is equal to 1 are found in $\chi_{h+1}^{\pm}$:

$$ \begin{aligned} \chi_{h+1}^- \ &= \ [m_1, 1, m_3, \dots, m_h \ ; \ d \ = \ h + \tfrac{1}{2}(m_1 - 3) \ ] \ , \quad m_1 \geq 3 \ , \\ \chi_{h+1}^+ \ &= \ [1, m_2, \dots, m_h \ ; \ d \ = \ h + \tfrac{1}{2}(m_2 - 3) \ ] \ , \quad m_2 \geq 3 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{66} $$

Finally the last three FRP cases $(m_1, m_2) = (1,1), (2,1), (1,2)$ are found in ${}_r\chi^-_{k=,h-1h,h+1}$:

$$\begin{aligned} {}_r\chi^-_{h-1} &= [1,\, 1,\, m_3,\, \ldots,\, m_h\ ;\ d\ =\ h-1\ ]\ , \\ {}_r\chi^-_{h} &= [2,\, 1,\, m_3,\, \ldots,\, m_h\ ;\ d\ =\ h-\tfrac{1}{2}\ ]\ , \\ {}_r\chi^-_{h+1} &= [1,\, 2,\, m_3,\, \ldots,\, m_h\ ;\ d\ =\ h-\tfrac{1}{2}\ ]\ . \end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

5.3 Minimal irreps for $p+q$ even

The minimal irreps in this case happen to be related to the ERs in the reduced multiplets. We define the minimal irreps L_Λ as positive energy UIRs which involve the lowest dimensional representation of $\mathcal{M}$. Besides the signature we display the equations that are obeyed by the functions of the irrep. Typically, the irrep is the intersection of the kernel of the corresponding KS operator G^+ and of one or two intertwining differential operators that were already displayed in the subsection on reduced multiplets.

Below we denote by ${}_rL^\pm_i$ the irreducible subrepresentation of the ER ${}_r\mathcal{C}^\pm_i$. The list is:

$$\begin{aligned} {}_r\chi^-_1 &= [(1,\ldots,1)\ ;\ d\ =\ 1\ ]\ , \\ &\quad {}_rL^-_1\ =\ \{\ \varphi \in\ {}_r\mathcal{C}^-_1\ :\ \mathcal{D}^1_{\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_3}\varphi\ =\ 0\ ,\quad G^+_1\,\varphi\ =\ 0\ \}\ , \\ {}_r\chi^-_2 &= [(1,\ldots,1)\ ;\ d\ =\ 2\ ]\ , \\ &\quad {}_rL^-_2\ =\ \{\ \varphi \in\ {}_r\mathcal{C}^-_2\ :\ \mathcal{D}^1_{\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_4}\varphi\ =\ 0\ ,\quad G^+_2\,\varphi\ =\ 0\ \}\ , \\ &\ldots \\ {}_r\chi^-_j &= [(1,\ldots,1)\ ;\ d\ =\ j\ ]\ ,\quad 1\le j\le h-2\ , \\ &\quad {}_rL^-_j\ =\ \{\ \varphi \in\ {}_r\mathcal{C}^-_j\ :\ \mathcal{D}^1_{\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_{j+2}}\varphi\ =\ 0\ ,\quad G^+_j\,\varphi\ =\ 0\ \}\ , \\ &\ldots \\ {}_r\chi^-_{h-1} &= [(1,\ldots,1)\ ;\ d_{\rm FRP}=h-1\ ]\ , \\ &\quad {}_rL^-_{h-1}\ =\ \{\ \varphi \in\ {}_r\mathcal{C}^-_{h-1}\ :\ \mathcal{D}^1_{\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_{h+1}}\varphi\ =\ 0\ ,\quad \mathcal{D}^1_{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_{h+1}}\varphi\ =\ 0\ , \\ &\qquad\qquad G^+_{h-1}\,\varphi\ =\ 0\ \}\ , \\ {}_r\chi^-_{h} &= [(2,1,\ldots,1)\ ;\ d^-_{\rm FRP}=h-\tfrac{1}{2}\ ]\ , \\ &\quad {}_rL^-_{h}\ =\ \{\ \varphi \in\ {}_r\mathcal{C}^-_{h}\ :\ \mathcal{D}^2_{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_{h+1}}\varphi\ =\ 0\ \},\quad G^+_h\ \sim\ \mathcal{D}^1_{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_{h+1}}\ , \\ {}_r\chi^-_{h+1} &= [(1,2,1,\ldots,1)\ ;\ d_{\rm FRP}=h-\tfrac{1}{2}\ ]\ , \\ &\quad {}_rL^-_{h+1}\ =\ \{\ \varphi \in\ {}_r\mathcal{C}^-_{h+1}\ :\ \mathcal{D}^2_{\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_{h+1}}\varphi\ =\ 0\ \}, \\ &\qquad G^+_{h+1}\ \sim\ \mathcal{D}^1_{\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_{h+1}}\ , \end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

where we have indicated (in the last two cases) the degeneration of KS integral operators to differential operators.

We see in (68) that for $h \geq 3$ there are discrete unitary points *below* the FRPs.[2] For fixed $h \geq 3$ these are in ${}_r\chi_j^-$ with conformal weight $d = j$ (and trivial $\mathcal{M}$ inducing irreps) for $j = 1, \ldots, h-2$. Furthermore, as evident from (61) for $h \geq 4$ there are discrete unitary points below those displayed. For fixed $h \geq 4$ these are in ${}_r\chi_j^-$ with conformal weight $1 \leq d < j$ (and non-trivial $\mathcal{M}$ inducing irreps) for $j = 2, \ldots, h-2$. It seems that all this picture is consistent with [14]. More details will be given elsewhere.

Singular vectors needed for the invariant differential operators:

The necessary cases are:

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_j &= \alpha_{h+3-j} + \cdots + \alpha_{h+1} \ , \quad 2 \leq j \leq h+1 \ , \\ \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_{h+1} &= \alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \cdots + \alpha_{h+1} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

These are roots of $sl(n)$ subalgebras ($n < h+1$). Thus, we can use f-la (46) after suitable change of enumeration.

6 Figures



Fig. 1. Main multiplets for $so(p,q)$, $p+q = 2h+3$, odd, $p,q \geq 1$
i_{1k}^- corresponds to weight $m_i(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_k)$, i_{1k}^+ corresponds to weight $m_i(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_k)$

[2] Thus, the most famous case $so(4,2)$ is excluded.



Fig. 2. Reduced multiplets R^o_{h+1} $(m_{h+1} = 0)$ for $so(p,q)$, $p+q = 2h+3$, odd, $p,q \geq 1$
i^-_{1k} corresponds to weight $m_i(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_k)$, i^+_{1k} corresponds to weight $m_i(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_k)$



Fig. 3. Reduced multiplets R^o_h $(m_h = 0)$ for $so(p,q)$, $p+q = 2h+3$, odd, $p,q \geq 1$
i^-_{1k} corresponds to weight $m_i(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_k)$, i^+_{1k} corresponds to weight $m_i(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_k)$



Fig. 4. Reduced multiplets R_2^o $(m_2 = 0)$ for $so(p,q)$, $p+q = 2h+3$, odd, $p,q \geq 1$
i_{1k}^- corresponds to weight $m_i(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_k)$, i_{1k}^+ corresponds to weight $m_i(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_k)$



Fig. 5. Reduced multiplets R_1^o $(m_1 = 0)$ for $so(p,q)$, $p+q = 2h+3$, odd, $p,q \geq 1$
i_{1k}^- corresponds to weight $m_i(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_k)$, i_{1k}^+ corresponds to weight $m_i(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_k)$



Fig. 6. Main multiplets for $so(p,q)$, $p+q=2h+2$, even, $p,q\geq 1$
i_{1k}^- corresponds to weight $m_i(\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_k)$, i_{1k}^+ corresponds to weight $m_i(\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_k)$



Fig. 7. Reduced multiplets R_{h+1}^e $(m_{h+1}=0)$ $p+q=2h+2$, even, $p,q\geq 1$
i_{1k}^- corresponds to weight $m_i(\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_k)$, i_{1k}^+ corresponds to weight $m_i(\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_k)$



Fig. 8. Reduced multiplets R_h^e $(m_h = 0)$ $p + q = 2h + 2$, even, $p, q \geq 1$
i_{1k}^- corresponds to weight $m_i(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_k)$, i_{1k}^+ corresponds to weight $m_i(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_k)$



Fig. 9. Reduced multiplets R_3^e $(m_3 = 0)$ for $so(p,q)$, $p+q = 2h+2$, even, $p,q \geq 1$
i_{1k}^- corresponds to weight $m_i(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_k)$, i_{1k}^+ corresponds to weight $m_i(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_k)$



Fig. 10. Reduced multiplets R_2^e $(m_2 = 0)$ for $so(p,q)$, $p+q = 2h+2$, $p,q \geq 1$
i_{jk}^- corresponds to weight $m_i(\varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_k)$, i_{jk}^+ corresponds to weight $m_i(\varepsilon_j + \varepsilon_k)$



Fig. 11. Reduced multiplets R_1^e $(m_1 = 0)$ for $so(p,q)$, $p+q = 2h+2$, $p,q \geq 1$
i_{jk}^- corresponds to weight $m_i(\varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_k)$, i_{jk}^+ corresponds to weight $m_i(\varepsilon_j + \varepsilon_k)$

References

1. V.K. Dobrev, Rev. Math. Phys. **20** (2008) 407-449.
2. V.K. Dobrev, J. Phys. **A42** (2009) 285203.
3. V.K. Dobrev, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2013) 015.
4. V.K. Dobrev, G. Mack, V.B. Petkova, S.G. Petrova and I.T. Todorov, *Harmonic Analysis on the n-Dimensional Lorentz Group and Its Applications to Conformal Quantum Field Theory*, Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol. 63 (Springer, Berlin, 1977).
5. V.K. Dobrev, Lett. Math. Phys. **9** (1985) 205-211.
6. V.K. Dobrev, Rept. Math. Phys. **25** (1988) 159-181; first as ICTP preprint IC/86/393 (1986).
7. I.N. Bernstein, I.M. Gel'fand and S.I. Gel'fand, Funct. Anal. Appl. **5** 1–8 (1971).
8. J. Dixmier, *Enveloping Algebras*, (North Holland, New York, 1977).
9. V.K. Dobrev, Lett. Math. Phys. **22** (1991) 251-266. Phys. Lett. **B283** (1992) 194-202.
10. V.K. Dobrev, Suppl. Rendiconti Circolo Matematici di Palermo, Serie II, Numero 43 (1996) 15-56.
11. V.K. Dobrev and V.B. Petkova, Rept. Math. Phys. **13** (1978) 233-277.
12. A.W. Knapp and E.M. Stein, Ann. Math. **93** (1971) 489-578.
13. P.A.M. Dirac, J. Math. Phys. **4** (1963) 901-909.
14. T.J. Enright, R. Howe, and N. Wallach, in: Representation Theory of Reductive Groups, Progr. Math. Vol. 40, (Birkhäuser, Boston, 1982) pp. 97-143.